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ABSTRACT
Objective: To determine the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema by means of retinography in patients diagnosed with Type 2 diabetes mellitus according to time of evolution and degree of vision loss with and without refractive correction. Method: A descriptive cross-sectional study of 150 patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus assessed in optometry in various health centers from the Sanitary Jurisdiction of Tlalpan, Health Services of Mexico City. Results: 150 patients (70% women, 30% men) aged 60 (+/- 7.77) were diagnosed with Type 2 diabetes mellitus, 52% of them with an evolution of 12.09 years (+/- 3.48). Of the total number of patients, 72.33% had retinopathy and/or diabetic macular edema lesions. The average visual acuity improved from 0.62 (+/- 0.48) to 0.37 (+/- 0.38) with refractive correction, absolute disability decreased by –18.76% and null or slight disability increased by 31.31%. Of the total population, 75.5% remained under monitoring in primary care, and 24.5% were referred to tertiary care in ophthalmology. Conclusion: The strategic opportunity to combat vision loss due to retinopathy and diabetic macular edema is found in its timely detection by health personnel trained in scrutiny and control at the primary care level, which would represent a decreased hospital load in tertiary care, thereby reducing costs for the health systems, as well as cost-efficiency for the years of sight gained and optimization of the patient’s global vision.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a worldwide public health problem; in fact, it is estimated that, in 2015, 415 million people were suffering from diabetes, and that by 2040 that number will increase to 642 million, according to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) (1). According to the National Health and Nutrition Survey, the prevalence of diabetes in Mexico increased from 9.2% in 2012 to 9.4% in 2016, based on a previous diagnosis of the disease (2). However, the actual number of people suffering from DM is expected to be twice as high, considering that many individuals are unaware of their condition.

The IDF describes three main types of DM (1):

1) Type 1 or insulin-dependent diabetes. It is caused by an autoimmune reaction that compromises the beta cells of the pancreas, leading to a deficient production of insulin and thus requiring a daily application of this hormone. It affects people at any age but it is more common in children and young adults.

2) Diabetes type 2 (DM2), non-insulin dependent. The result of the ineffective action of insulin, it is the most common type of diabetes worldwide, caused mainly by being overweight and having a sedentary lifestyle. The symptoms may be detected after the disease has progressed for several years and the first complications manifest. DM2 used to manifest only in adults, but nowadays it also manifests in children.

3) Gestational diabetes. It is characterized by hyperglycemia during pregnancy and may end after birth, increasing the risk factor for developing DM in a period of 5 to 10 years.

Other specific types of DM develop due to alterations of the pancreas, associated with endocrine diseases, genetic defects in the function of beta cells, and genetic defects in the action of insulin. Thus, diabetic retinopathy (DR) is the result of microvascular alterations closely associated with the type and time of evolution of DM, blood glucose (chronic hyperglycemia), blood pressure and probably lipids, although the mechanism by which hyperglycemia causes microvascular damage in the retina is unknown (3,4).

Diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema (DME) are secondary complications to DM, being one of the main causes of irreversible vision loss. Literature lists different criteria for the classification of DR, some of which are so complex that...
they are used for research purposes. The Early Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) has been consolidated as the “gold standard” that makes it possible to know about the development of the disease, the risk factors, and the treatment based on the degree of severity. Diabetic retinopathy was classified as: without diabetic retinopathy (without alterations), mild non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR) (micro aneurysms), moderate NPDR (more than just micro aneurysms, but less severe NPDR), severe NPDR (any of the following: more than 20 intraretinal hemorrhages in the four quadrants, venous embrittlement in two or more quadrants, or intraretinal microvascular abnormalities in one or more quadrants), and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) (neovascularization or preratinal or vitreous hemorrhage). On the other hand, diabetic macular edema was classified, as: without DME (DME absent) and clinically significant DME (retinal thickening and/or hard exudates at 500 microns or less to the macula) (5,6).

In Scotland, the DR screening program was developed based on retinographies, a safe test that can be performed without having to dilate the pupil in most cases, which offers high sensitivity and specificity for threats to vision resulting from diseases. The program provides easy access, storage and transmission of images, which can be consulted by one or several people in order to guarantee assertiveness in the diagnosis, and it is also cost-effective. The retinography, assessed by certified personnel for scrutiny, contributes to the management, control, follow-up or referral to tertiary care ophthalmology, depending on the degree of severity. The Scottish classification system of DR (7,8) is a simplified and clinically relevant form for DR screening (Table 1).

Loss of vision by DR and/or DME is the result of injuries caused by DM and/or DME, with other associated causes, such as refractive error, cataract, and glaucoma, among others. The Wecker’s scale (9) is a legal criterion used in Spain to determine the overall visual disability of the individual, considering the visual acuity (VA) of the best and worst eye, establishing percentages of visual decrease and thus determining their degree of visual loss (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Classification of diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema according to Scottish criteria (7,8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIABETIC RETINOPATHY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIABETIC MACULAR EDEMA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Classification criteria for global vision loss according to Wecker’s scale (9): Materials and methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degree of disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null or mild disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute disability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A transversal descriptive statistical analysis was carried out between January 2016 and August 2017, in which 150 patients were evaluated (70% women and 30% men), diagnosed with DM2 and referred from family medicine to optometry, which is part of different Health Centers of the Sanitary Jurisdiction of Tlalpan, Mexico City.

A questionnaire was applied to patients under express verbal consent to obtain demographic data and systemic pathologies. Patients who denied the medical diagnosis of DM2 and those who did not know its time of the evolution were selected. Monocular VA tests were performed with Snell Primer (literate and illiterate) at a distance of 3 meters with photopic illumination, static retinoscopy tests with a test box and framework for obtaining corrected VA images and eye fundus exploration with non-mydriatic eye camera in retinographies.
with artifacts and refractive devices by indirect ophthalmoscope and fundus examination system for mobile devices for documentation of injuries (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** 36-year-old female patient diagnosed with DM2 with 25 years of evolution. Left eye: VA 20/25 hemorrhage in spot. Scottish classification R1, M1. Referral to tertiary care.

Source: Retinography database of the Medical Specialty Units of Chronic Diseases (UNEME ec) at Tlalpan.

The criterion according to the Scottish classification of RD (7,8) (Table 1) was used for weighing of the degree, and the degree of visual incapacity was determined based on Wecker’s scale (Table 2) with and without refractive correction.

**RESULTS**

In the study, 150 patients from primary care centers from the Sanitary Jurisdiction of Tlalpan of the Government of Mexico City were seen. The patients were both female (70.0%) and male (30.0%), 97.99% with an average age of 60 years (+/- 7.77), after a medical diagnosis of DM2 (58.0%) and in comorbidity with Systemic Arterial Hypertension (HAS) (52.0%), with an average time of evolution of DM2 of 12.09 years (+/- 3.48).

Out of 150 patients diagnosed with DM2 and treated at primary care centers from the Sanitary Jurisdiction of Tlalpan of the Government of Mexico City, 108.5 (72.33%) were found to have DR and/or DME. According to the Scottish classification of DR and DME, an increase in severity is observed in the age range (Table 3) according to the time of evolution of diabetes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diabetic Evolution Time</th>
<th>Diabetic Retinopathy</th>
<th>Diabetic Macular Edema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5 years</td>
<td>R0 R1 R2 R3 R4 R6</td>
<td>M0 M1 M2 M6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to 10 years</td>
<td>63 1 0 0 0 2</td>
<td>64 0 0 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 15 years</td>
<td>72 8 5 0 0 7</td>
<td>76 1 6 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 to 20 years</td>
<td>21 19 6 2 3 11</td>
<td>30 6 14 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 25 years</td>
<td>17 7 8 3 3 2</td>
<td>20 3 13 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 26 years</td>
<td>3 2 2 4 2 7</td>
<td>4 4 5 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CS TIII database, Dr. Castro Villagrana.

The descriptive statistical analysis of the prevalence at intervals of 5 years of diabetes evolution showed an increased percentage of both DR and DME in patients (Figure 2).

The loss of vision according to weighting with Wecker’s scale (Figure 3) determined that absolute disability is improvable, decreasing from 29.45% to 10.69% with refractive correction, showing a decrease of 18.76%; total disability improved from 15.75% to 9.92%, showing a decrease of 5.83%; partial disability improved from 28.08% to 21.37%, showing a decrease of 6.37%; and null or mild disability increased by 31.31%, going from 26.71% to 58.02.

Visual acuity in the Average MAR Log improved from 0.62 (+/- 0.48) to 0.37 (+/- 0.38) with refractive correction, reducing absolute disability by −18.76% and increasing null or slight disability by + 31.31%.
**Figure 2.** Increase in percentage of diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema by time of evolution of diabetes

Source: CS TIII database, Dr. Castro Villagrana.

**Figure 3.** Distribution of visual disability in two groups, with and without refractive correction, based on Wecker's scale criterion

Source: CS TIII database, Dr. Castro Villagrana.
The referral to tertiary care in ophthalmology (Figure 4) reflects a higher percentage of patients under control in primary care (75.5%) versus referrals to tertiary care in ophthalmology (24.5%) based on the Scottish RD Screening Criterion.

DISCUSSION

The early detection of DR is the responsibility of the patient and of the relatives, caregivers and health personnel who are in direct contact with the patient, emphasizing the relevance of the annual visual examination, which allows detecting injuries that compromise the patient's vision in a timely manner. It is also worth noting that DR can be asymptomatic, meaning that the patient has acceptable eyesight without any symptoms of the disease, and that the continuity of the vision is favored by a timely medical attention, which would be less expensive and more effective.

The time of evolution of DM2 is a characteristic that influences the increase in the percentage of DR and/or DME (10,11). Previous studies of prevalence of DR carried out in Mexico found a prevalence of DR of 33.3% in the state of Hidalgo with an evolution of 9.9 years (12), of 71% in Guanajuato with an evolution of up to 12 years (13); in Durango, the prevalence of DR was of 21.3% in patients with an evolution of less than 5 years, and of 80.7% in patients with an evolution of 15 years or more (14). The General Hospital of Mexico reports a greater prevalence of DR in patients with 15 years or more of evolution (15), and in the present study the prevalence was of 21.7% in patients with evolution of 10 year, of 66.1% in patients with evolution of 15 years, and of 85.0% in patients with evolution of 25 years or more. The population of the studies carried out in different Mexican states is heterogeneous in terms of ethnic groups, age, and sex, and the prevalence of DR tends to increase (Figure 5).

The implementation of screening strategies in patients with DM in primary care would represent an effective model (16-18), with elements such
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**Figure 4.** Percentages of patients suffering from DM2 in follow-up control with Retinography by DR and/or DME in primary care and referral to tertiary care in ophthalmology according to Scottish Criteria (n = 150 patients)

Source: CS TII database, Dr. Castro Villagrana.
as medical history, visual examination, taking appropriate quality retinographies, focusing on patients without screening before or after being diagnosed with DM2, implementing a periodic review plan according to their stage or referral to ophthalmology for a more thorough follow-up and/or treatment of injuries.

DR and/or DME leads to irreversible loss of vision (19), and refractive correction contributes to decreasing visual disability despite injuries. Part of the optometric model in care and rehabilitation of patients with DM is refractive correction, which increased null or slight disability by +31.31% and reduced absolute disability by −18.76%, thus contributing to the patient’s being able to resume domestic, trade, and recreational activities etc. It also reduced the impact of depression and anxiety caused by visual disability (20). Consideration for refractive correction may be subject to the degree of visual impairment of the patient.

Referral to tertiary care in ophthalmology for DR represents a greater cost when there is no effective DR screening model in primary care (21). Optometrists must have the clinical sensitivity to implement DR (22) screening, and so training and certification should be the basic norm for DR screening. Opportunistic screening would be cost-effective for the years of vision loss saved.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Screening of DR by retinography in primary care by trained optometrists aimed at DM2 patients (n = 150) found that 72.33% of the patients suffered from DR and/or DME. Refractive correction favored null or mild disability by +31.31% and
reduced absolute disability by -18.76%; moreover, average visual acuity, measured with the LogMar chart, improved from 0.62 (+/- 0.48) to 0.37 (+/- 0.38) with refractive correction. Of the total number of patients, 24.5% were referred to tertiary care in ophthalmology and 75.5% were seen and monitored in primary care.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Arely Leyva Castrejón, Dr. Fernando Rey Monroy Ramírez and Dr. Maribel López Alquicira for their support in providing us with the resources for obtaining data for the study. We would also like to thank Dr. David Rivera de la Parra, and Dr. Aida Jiménez Corona for their contribution in training to consolidate a clinical value criterion. Finally, special thanks to Dr. Silvia Elsa Varela Arébalo† for her firm belief in optometry and for making the difference for the benefit of patients.

REFERENCES

17. Sender Palacios MJ, Maseras Bover M, Vernet Vernet M, Larrosa Sáeza P, Puente Martorelle ML, Foz